ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ರಾಚಯ್ಯ.—ಕೊನೆಯಪಕ್ಷ ಸರ್ವೆ ವರ್ಕ್ ಕಂಪ್ಲೀಟ್ ಆಗಿದೆಯೇ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಕ್. ನಾಗನೂರ್.—ಡೀಟೇಲ್ಡ್ ಇನ್‌ವೆಸ್ಟಿಗೇಶನ್ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಾ ಇದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ರಾಚಯ್ಯ.—ಮೂರನೆಯ ಪಂಚವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಯೋಜನೆಯ ಅವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಯೋಜನೆಯನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬ ಕಂಕ್ಲೂಶನ್‌ಗೆ ಹೇಗೆ ಬಂದಿರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಕ್. ನಾಗನೂರ್.—ಮೂರನೆಯ ಡ್ರಾಫ್ಟ್ ಪ್ಲಾನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೆವು. ಆದರೆ ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಹಣದ ಕೊರತೆಯಿಂದ ಅದನ್ನು ಡ್ರಾಪ್ ಮಾಡಿದರು.

SANCTION OF WATER SUPPLY SCHEME FOR CHAMARAJANAGAR, RAMASAMUDRA TOWN.

*Q—.544.Sri B. RACHAIAH (Chamarajanagar).—

Will the government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) when the water-supply scheme was sanctioned for the supply of drinking water to the residents of Chamarajanagar-Rama samudra Town :

(*b*) the cost of the estimate and the amount incurred by way of expenditure so far ?

A.—Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Development and Local Self-Government).—

(*a*) In February 1956.

(*b*) The cost of estimate of the comprehensive Water-supply Scheme is Rs. 12,60,300. An expenditure of Rs. 8,18,859 has been incurred up to end of February 1961.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ರಾಚಯ್ಯ.—ಈ ಕುಡಿಯುವ ನೀರನ್ನು ಸರಬರಾಯಿ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ಎಷ್ಟು ವರ್ಷ ಹಿಡಿಯುತ್ತದೆ.

† ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ಅದಷ್ಟು ಬಾಗ್ಯತೆ ಸರಬರಾಯಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ;

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ರಾಚಯ್ಯ.—1956ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಿಂದಲೂ ಇದೇ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರುತ್ತಿದೆಯಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ಈಗಾಗಲೇ 8 ಲಕ್ಷ ಚಿಲ್ಲರೆ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಇನ್ನುಳಿದ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಹಾಲ ಇದ್ದ ಕಂಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟರ್ ಮಾಡದೆ ಇದ್ದ ಕಾರಣ ಈಗ ಆ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಬೇರೆ ಕಂಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟರಿಗೆ ಪಹಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ರಾಚಯ್ಯ.—ಈಗ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳೇನು ಹಣ ಖರ್ಚಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೇ ಅದು ಕೇವಲ ಪೈಪುಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ತರಿಸಲು ಆಗಿರತಕ್ಕ ಪೆಚ್ಚಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ ಹಾಗಲ್ಲ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಬೇಕಾದಷ್ಟು ಕೆಲಸಗಳು ಅಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಏನೇನು ಕೆಲಸಗಳಾಗಿರುತ್ತವೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ—

The work of construction of two lakh gallon capacity overhead tank could not be taken up as the acquisition of land could not be decided and the possession of land was taken in May 1960. Second Failure of the contractor for the work of constructing R. C. C. wash water tank, raising main and distribution of lines, to start the works. Consequently, cancellation of contracts has been done and new contracts have been given and the works will be finished as early as possible.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—May I know the date on which you are going to supply water, as per the programme ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—No date has been fixed.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—Is it not a fact that there is a stipulation to that effect in the terms of contract ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—That is why the contract was cancelled.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—The contract was cancelled and you have taken up that work.

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Further contract has been given.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—What is the stipulation ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—I have no idea ; that information is not before me.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—Is it not the practice existing in the department that there must be a stipulation to that effect, there must be a programme, there must be a time limit...

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—I do not have that inforation.

Sri Y. VEERAPPA.—May I know why the Government cancelled the contract once entrusted to a contractor ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Because that contractor did not do the contract work as per schedule ; he did not take up the work ; therefore, it had to be cancelled.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—If it is implied that the contractor did not comply with the terms of contract, would not the Government think of another contractor ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—That is what they have done.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—May I know whether all the conditions that were imposed upon him were completely adhered to ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—I want notice. You can put a separate question and I will get the details.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ರಾಚಯ್ಯ.—ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಿಂದ 1956ರಲ್ಲಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಸಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗಿನಿಂದಲೂ ಬಡ್ಡಿಯನ್ನು ಆ ಹಣಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆಯಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ಅರ್ಧ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಖರ್ಚುಮಾಡಿದ ದಿನದಿಂದ ಬಡ್ಡಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ರಾಚಯ್ಯ.—ನೀರನ್ನು ಜನರಿಗೆ ಒದಗಿಸಿದ ತಾರೀಖಿನಿಂದ ಈ ಬಡ್ಡಿ ಹಾಕಬೇಕೆಂದು ಜನಗಳಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಮನವಿಗಳು ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ರೂಲ್ಸಿನಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸಾಲ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಹಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಧ ಹಣ ಖರ್ಚಾದನಂತರ ಆ ತಾರೀಖಿನಿಂದ ಬಡ್ಡಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ನೇಮವಿದೆ. ರಿಯಾಯಿತಿ ತೋರಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ನೋಡೋಣ ಅದು ಬೇರೆ ವಿಚಾರ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ರಾಚಯ್ಯ.—ನೀರನ್ನೊದಗಿಸದೆ ಬಡ್ಡಿ ಕೇಳುವುದು ಯಾವ ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ? ಅದು ಯಾವ ನ್ಯಾಯ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಅರ್ಧ ಹಣ ಖರ್ಚಾದ ತಾರೀಖಿನಿಂದ ಬಡ್ಡಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲೇಬೇಕು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ರಾಚಯ್ಯ.—ಬೇಗ ನೀರನ್ನು ನರಬರಾಯಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ಪ್ರಥಮತಃ ಈ ಯೋಜನೆಯನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು 95 ಸಾವಿರಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ಅಂದಾಜಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಆನಂತರ ಅದು ಬದಲಾಗಿ ಮತ್ತೆ 3 ಲಕ್ಷ 68 ಸಾವಿರಕ್ಕೆ ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ಅಂದಾಜನ್ನು ತಯಾರಿಸಲಾಯಿತು. ಮತ್ತೆ ಆ ಅಂದಾಜನ್ನು 1956ರಲ್ಲಿ 12 ಲಕ್ಷ 60 ಸಾವಿರಕ್ಕೆ ರೀಎಸ್ಟಿಮೇಟ್ ಮಾಡಲಾಯಿತು. ಆಗ ಅದು ಲಾಪ್ಸ್ ಆಯಿತು, ಇತ್ತೀಚೆಗೆ ಈಗಿನ ಅಂದಾಜನ್ನು 13-2-1959ರಲ್ಲಿ ಫೈನಲೈಜ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಲಾಯಿತು.

Further loan of Rs. 2 lakhs has been sanctioned in 1960. Therefore the total loan sanctioned in the above G.Os. will all come to about Rs. 8,25,000. It is stated by the Chief Engineer that the expenditure incurred up to end of February 1961 is Rs. 8,18,000 and most of the pipes, etc. are purchased. He has also stated that the work of sinking curb wells of 20 feet diameter in Suvarnavathi River which is situate five miles from the town, installing gravity filter, construction of pump house, raising main overhead tank are under progress. The delay has been due to two things; One, acquisition of land; second the contractor had to be changed. These are the two factors which contributed to the delay. After all, the whole work has been in progress for 4½ years. Ordinarly, water supply works will not be finished within three years.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—I rise to a point of order. When Honourable Member Sri Madappa put the question as to whether all the conditions imposed upon the contractor were fulfilled, if not, what action has been taken, the Miuister for local Self Government, without giving the answer, says that a separate question may be put. He has got the file to say that the contract has been cancelled and the work as been entrusted to another contractor. Should he not give a reply as to whether the conditions imposed on the first contractor have been fulfilled or not ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—What conditions were imposed upon him, what were the penalties that were inflicted upon him because of his not adhering to the terms of contract that information has not come to me; it is with the P.W.D. I will get it and supply to the Honourable Member if he puts a separate question.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—Why should he put a separate question ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—It only means he wants notice so far as that is concerned. He may give a separate notice.

1-30 P.M.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—May I know whether Government or the concerned officers of P. W. D. imposed any fine on that contractor?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Notice.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNPPA.—When was this contractor terminated and the new contractor was entrusted with this work?

Sri T. SURRAMANYA.—The new contractor was entrusted with this work in 1960.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SHETTY.—May I know whether the new contractor has accepted the estimated rate or is any excess rate given?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—I have no idea.

Sri Y. VEERAPPA.—Sir, the Minister gave reason for the delay, namely, there was delay in acquisition of land. Was it not desirable on the part of Government to acquire the land first and then entrust the work to the contractor?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Sir, it is the business of the Municipality to acquire the land and give it to the P. W. D. to construct the water tank. It is not the fault of the department. Now, there was delay in acquisition because it took lot of time. When one objection petition is filed before the land Acquisition Officer, he has to go investigate the whole things and follow all the procedure. It is inevitable according to the law that exists today. Therefore, there was delay.

ACQUISITION OF LAND FOR VILLAGE EXTENSION OF ERRASAVADI IN CHAMARAJANAGAR TALUK.

*Q—546. Sri B. RACHAIAH Chamaranagar).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether Uppaliga community people of Errasavadi in Chamarajanagar Taluk, have applied for the acquisition of 9½ acres of land in Survey No. 14/1, 16/1, 1/1 of the same village, for village Extension;